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Well done! You aced every assessment criterion though I was a bit surprised that KNN outperformed DT and neural network. Like you mentioned in the critique section, it was probably caused by a downsized sample. Anyway, you deserved the full mark!

| Criteria | Ratings | | | | | | 30/30 Pts |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Complete overview of methodology | Excellent | Very good | Good | | Average | Poor | 4/4.0 pts |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
| Algorithm fine-tuning and performance analysis | Full Marks | | | No Marks | | | 10/10 pts |
|  | | |  | | |
| Performance comparison | Excellent | Very good | Good | | Average | Poor | 4/4.0 pts |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
| A critique of your approach | Excellent | Very good | Good | | Average | Poor | 4/4.0 pts |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
| Summary and conclusions | Excellent | Very good | Good | | Average | Poor | 4/4.0 pts |
|  |  |  | |  |  |
| Overall writing quality | Excellent | Very good | Good | | Average | Poor | 4/4.0 pts |
|  |  |  | |  |  |